Association of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase locus variant with late-onset Alzheimer's disease



Mariet Allen^{1,2}, Claire Cox¹, Olivia Belbin¹, Li Ma¹, Gina D. Bisceglio¹, Samantha L. Wilcox¹, Chanley C. Howell¹, Talisha A. Hunter¹, Oliver Culley¹, Louise P. Walker¹, Minerva M. Carrasquillo¹, Dennis W. Dickson¹, Ronald C. Petersen, M.D³, Neill R. Graff-Radford^{1,3}, Steven G. Younkin¹, <u>Nilüfer Ertekin-Taner^{1,3}</u> 1Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Jacksonville, FL, USA, 2Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Medical Research Council,

Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 3Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN, USA.

Background: Previous studies have implicated variants in glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene (GAPDH) and its paralogues in late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD), although the strength and direction of association have not been consistent. Our objective is to explore the associations between GAPDH, its paralogues and LOAD.

Methods: We genotyped three previously reported SNPs (rs3741916 in GAPDH, rs2029721 in pGAPD and rs4806173 in GAPDHS) and 22 additional SNPs, at the GAPDH and GAPDHS loci, in three case-control series collectively composed of 2112 cases and 3808 controls. We tested these SNPs for association with LOAD using previously published series and ours. Results: GAPDH variant rs3741916, which resides in the 5'UTR of this gene, showed the strongest evidence of association with LOAD (p value = 0.003). None of the other SNPs were as significant. The minor G allele showed a protective effect in our combined series (OR = 0.87, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.79-0.96). This result is consistent with all of the published follow-up series but is in the opposite direction to three of the four series from the original report. Combined meta-analysis of all published series with available data and ours suggests presence of heterogeneity (Breslow-Day p < 0.0001). Meta-analysis of the 4 follow-up series with available data, including ours, revealed a significant protective effect for the minor G allele of rs3741916 (OR = 0.85, 95% c. 0.0001). Meta-analysis of the vicinity of GAPDH. The most provide supportive evidence for the presence of LOAD risk variants in the vicinity of GAPDH. The most provide supportive evidence for the presence of LOAD risk variants in the vicinity of GAPDH. The most provide supportive evidence for the functional variant (rs3741916) is unlikely to be the functional variant(s) in this region likely awaits deep variant discovery efforts.

Summary

 \succ In 2004 Li $\,$ et al 1 reported association of SNPs at the GAPDH locus and it paralogues with LOAD.

> We focused on three of the SNPs reported by Li et al that formed multi-locus genotypes in their study (*GAPDH* rs3741916, *pGAPD* rs2029721 and *GAPDHS* rs4806173).

Analysis of individual SNPs revealed significant association for the GAPDH SNP rs3741916 (aka rs1136666) in our combined Mayo series (Table1), we were unable to replicate the multi-locus association in our series (data not shown).

Two follow-up studies were published in 2006 (Lin et al²) and 2008 (Lee at al⁹) that included one or more of these SNPs. Each of the three studies reported association of these SNPs using different statistical tests.

 \geq In Table 2, we summarize the results of these publications, for the three SNPs our study has focused on, and report the results in our series based upon the statistical methods used by others ^(1,2,3).

Analysis of additional SNPs (Figure 1) at the GAPDH locus failed to identify SNPs with more significant association than rs3741916 (data not shown).

> Meta-analysis of all published series with available data^{1,3}, plus our Mayo series, reveals heterogeneity at the GAPDH locus (Fig 2a).

Meta analysis excluding the initial study (Li et al), reveals significant association of the minor allele of rs3741916 with decreased risk for LOAD.

		Base						
SNP (Locus)	Chr	Position	Strata	Series	Cs N (MAF)	Cn N(MAF)	OR (95%CI)	p-value
rs3741916				JS	859 (0.26)	974 (0.28)	0.90 (0.77: 1.05)	0.159
(<i>GAPDH</i>) aka rs1136666	12	6,514,252	All	RS	611 (0.27)	2411 (0.28)	0.93 (0.81: 1.08)	0.361
				AUT	585 (0.23)	354 (0.27)	0.78 (0.61: 1.00)	0.047
				JS/RS/AUT	2055 (0.25)	3739 (0.28)	0.87 (0.79: 0.96)	0.003
rs2029721 (pGAPD)	12	61,435,611	AAE/D ⊴78	JS	590 (0.40)	637 (0.36)	1.39 (1.07: 1.81)	0.013
				RS	556 (0.37)	1356 (0.38)	0.94 (0.74: 1.21)	0.638
				AUT	574 (0.37)	354 (0.36)	1.20 (0.90: 1.60)	0.225
				JS/RS/AUT	1720 (0.38)	2347 (0.37)	1.14 (0.98: 1.31)	0.090
				JS	591 (0.39)	642 (0.38)	1.03 (0.87: 1.23)	0.732
rs4806173 (GAPDHS)	19	40,716,765	All	RS	556 (0.39)	1372 (0.38)	0.99 (0.86: 1.15)	0.923
				AUT	586 (0.38)	358 (0.38)	0.87 (0.70: 1.09)	0.222
				JS/RS/AUT	1733 (0.38)	2372 (0.38)	0.98 (0.89: 1.08)	0.688

Table 1. The results of logistic regression analysis under an additive model in theMayo Clinic Series. Chr = Chromosome Cs = AD case, Cn = Control subject. N =number of subjects in series that have genotype data. MAF = minor allele frequency.OR = Odds Ratio, Cl = Confidence Interval. . Logistic regression includes Age*,Gender and presence of an ApoE4 allele included as covariates, *Age and AAE/Drefers to Age at Diagnosis, Examination or Death. Nominally significant p-values(<0.05) are highlighted in bold.</td>

							Allelic		(Dominant Model)	
SNP (Locus)	Chr	Position (bp)	Strata	Series	Cs N (MAF)	Cn N (MAF)	OR (95%CI)	p-value	OR (95%CI)	p-valu
	12	6,514,252		Li et al: W/UC/UK	(0.297)	(0.247)	1.27(1.06:1.53)	0.008	nr	nr
A			ApoE4	JS	311 (0.25)	702 (0.27)	0.92 (0.74: 1.15)	0.478	0.95 (0.75: 1.28)	0.874
(CADDIA aka			(Li et al,	RS	276 (0.28)	1838 (0.28)	1.00 (0.82: 1.23)	0.960	1.12 (0.86: 1.45)	0.406
(GAFDH) aka			2004)	AUT	274 (0.22)	232 (0.28)	0.73 (0.54: 0.99)	0.042	0.67 (0.46: 0.97)	0.034
151130000				JS/RS/AUT	819 (0.25)	2814 (0.28)	0.88 (0.78: 1.00)	0.051	0.92 (0.79: 1.08)	0.313
Р	12	6,514,252		Lin et al C-C series	nr	nr	nr	nr	0.39 (0.21:0.70)	0.002
B			AAE/D <	JS	417 (0.27)	563 (0.28)	0.95 (0.78: 1.17)	0.683	0.98 (0.74: 1.29)	0.870
153741916			(Lis stat	RS	229 (0.28)	1200 (0.29)	0.93 (0.74: 1.16)	0.537	0.95 (0.70: 1.30)	0.765
(GAPDH) aka			(Lin et al,	AUT	209 (0.24)	225 (0.27)	0.83 (0.60: 1.13)	0.243	0.85 (0.56: 1.28)	0.435
151130000			2000)	JS/RS/AUT	855 (0.26)	1988 (0.29)	0.89 (0.79: 1.02)	0.089	0.92 (0.77: 1.10)	0.349
c				Lee et al C-C series	(0.14)	(0.21)	nr	0.027	nr	0.054
m2741016	12	6,514,252	All	JS	859 (0.26)	974 (0.28)	0.90 (0.78: 1.05)	0.178	0.92 (0.75: 1.12)	0.399
(CAPDIA aka			(Lee et al,	RS	611 (0.27)	2411 (0.28)	0.91 (0.79: 1.05)	0.212	0.95 (0.78: 1.14)	0.554
(GAPDH) aka			2008)	AUT	585 (0.23)	354 (0.27)	0.81 (0.65: 1.00)	0.053	0.77 (0.57: 1.04)	0.086
151130000				JS/RS/AUT	2055 (0.25)	3739 (0.28)	0.86 (0.79: 0.94)	8.0E-04	0.88 (0.78: 0.99)	0.033
				Li et al: W/UC/UK	(0.308)	(0.352)	0.80 (0.68:0.97)	0.018	nr	nr
D	12	61,435,611	AAE/D* > mean	Lin et al: C-C series	nr	nr	nr	0.004	0.55 (0.32:0.96)	0.036
rs2029721			(Li et al,	JS	320 (0.39)	309 (0.36)	1.13 (0.89: 1.42)	0.322	1.16 (0.82: 1.63)	0.404
(pGAPD)			2004 & Lin	RS	336 (0.36)	719 (0.38)	0.94 (0.78: 1.14)	0.530	0.96 (0.73: 1.27)	0.766
			et al 2006)	AUT	369 (0.36)	130 (0.34)	0.95 (0.70: 1.31)	0.759	1.31 (0.84: 2.05)	0.237
				JS/RS/AUT	1025 (0.37)	1158 (0.37)	1.00 (0.88:1.13	0.999	1.05 (0.87: 1.26)	0.642
	19	40,716,765	AAE/D* <	Li et al: W/UC/UK	(0.326)	(0.42)	0.66 (0.55:0.80)	3.0E-04	nr	nr
E rs4806173 (GAPDHS)				JS	270 (0.39)	330 (0.40)	0.97 (0.76: 1.23)	0.812	0.95 (0.65: 1.38)	0.785
			(Li et el	RS	220 (0.39)	644 (0.38)	1.05 (0.83: 1.32)	0.691	1.00 (0.70: 1.41)	0.977
			(11 6/ 8/,	AUT	209 (0.38)	229 (0.36)	1.09 (0.82: 1.45)	0.575	1.16 (0.77: 1.75)	0.490
			2004)	JS/RS/AUT	699 (0.39)	1203 (0.38)	1.03 (0.89: 1.18)	0.729	1.00 (0.81: 1.23)	0.960

Table 2. Replication analysis of previous reports: Chr = Chromosome, Cs = AD case, Cn = Control subject. N = number of subjects in series that have genotype data. MAF = minor allele frequency. OR = Odds Ratio, Cl = Confidence Interval. Allelic association tested using chi-squared test with no covariates. Logistic regression uses Age*, Gender and presence of an APOE4 allele as covariates, *Age and AAE/D refers to Age at Diagnosis, Examination or Death. The mean age differs between the different publications. The mean age is 78 in our combined series. Nominally significant p-values (<0.05) are highlighted in bold, nr = Not reported.

References

 Li Y, Nowotny P, Holmans P, et al. Association of late-onset Alzheimer's disease with genetic variation in multiple members of the GAPD gene family. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:15688-15693.

 Lin PI, Martin ER, Bronson PG, et al. Exploring the association of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene and Alzheimer disease. Neurology 2006;67:64-68.

 Lee JH, Cheng R, Rogaeva E, et al. Further examination of the candidate genes in chromosome 12p13 locus for late-onset Alzheimer disease. Neurogenetics 2008;9:127-138.



Logistic Regression

Figure 1. Linkage disequilibrium in the combined Mayo Clinic series at the GAPDH locus. LD was estimated and haplotype blocks were defined using the 'Solid Spine" method implemented in HAPLOVIEW Darker shades of red indicate increasing strength of LD (D'). Exons are represented with blue boxes and SNPs are represented with red lines. GAPDH SNP rs3741916 (aka rs1136666) is highlighted by a red box.

	Odds ratio meta	analysis plot (ran	dom effects)	
VIDAN			-	1.29 (1.10, 1.73)
UCSD				1.27 (0.99, 1.64)
Linkage				1.30 (1.08, 1.37)
LH.			1	a. 87 (a. 70, + ak)
NE	_			2.61 (2.41, 2.90)
15		-	+	0.90 (0.78, 1.05)
10		-	÷.	2.91 (2.78, 1.05)
AUT				0.81 (0.41, 1.01)
unanes (anton)		-	5	0.99 (0.04, 1.16)
02		o's	1	7
	odds red	io (15% confidence inter	in)	
	Odds ratio meta-	analysis plot (ran	dom effects)	
м		•	Ĭ	0 61 (0 41, 0 80)
л		-	ŀ	a 30 (a 11, 1 a);
RE		-	ŧ.	a 84 (a 76, 4 45)

odda ratio (32% confidence interval

Figure 2a. GAPDH rs3741916 (aka rs1136666) Meta Analysis of all series with reported counts and frequencies. Breslow-Day pvalue <0.0001. Lin et al data indicates significant association of the minor allele of this SNP with decreased risk for LOAD (OR=0.39). This data is not included in meta-analysis due to unreported counts or

* indicates series reported in the original study (Li et al).



frequencies.